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PART 1 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS
This Request for Qualifications is intended to solicit responses from qualified consultants or consultant teams for:

- Determining what priority projects should be implemented in this phase of funding.
- Evaluating community & MPRB staff needs through community engagement activities and meetings with staff to develop a scope of work to guide priority projects.
- Preparing a program review, concept and schematic designs, community engagement, and preparing construction documents for Folwell Park Improvements.
- Assisting Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board staff in reviews and submittals to permitting authorities and other agencies with jurisdiction; and
- Performing construction contract administration services oriented to compliance with documents and implementation schedules.

This Request for Qualifications is offered through the Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB), which will act as the contracting authority for work resulting from this request.

This Request for Qualifications is organized around the following core elements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part 1</td>
<td>General Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 2</td>
<td>Project Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 3</td>
<td>Request for Qualifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 4</td>
<td>Contract Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 5</td>
<td>Additional Information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All information related to this Request for Qualifications shall be obtained as described in Section 1.2. The Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board is the sole authority to speak to issues related to this Request for Qualifications.

This Request for Qualifications is open to any qualified parties.

A Scope of Work and a fee for services will be negotiated with the successful responder.

1.2 CONTACT INFORMATION
The MPRB has assigned staff to manage the Request for Qualifications process, including any needs related to clarifications or questions. Any communications related to this request shall be directed VIA EMAIL ONLY to:

Crystal Passi,

cpassi@minneapolisparks.org

No other staff is authorized to respond to questions or requests for clarification of this Request for Qualifications. Failure to follow this instruction may be cause for disqualification.
Questions or requests for clarification must be received by the date indicated in Section 1.3 Responses and will be provided to all known proposers via email by the date indicated in Section 1.3.

Responses to questions or requests for clarifications and the summary of the pre-submittal meeting will be posted to the MPRB’s website under MPRB Business Opportunities

1.3 REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS SCHEDULE
The Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board will pursue the following schedule related to this Request for Qualifications and the engagement of a consultant or consultant team:

- Release of Request for Qualifications 29 November 2022
- Questions or requests for clarifications due 12 December 2022
- Last addenda posted, including MPRB responses 18 December 2022
- Qualifications due 3:00 pm, January 4 2023
- Interview notifications, if required 4 January 2023
- Interviews, if required 10 January 2023

Updates to the schedule and answers to submitted questions will occur only via an addendum to this Request for Qualifications. All addenda will be posted on the MPRB Business Opportunities site. https://www.minneapolisparks.org/business-opportunities/

PART 2 PROJECT INFORMATION

2.1 BACKGROUND
The Minneapolis Park and Recreation Board (MPRB) adopted park Master Plans for the North Service Area (2019) setting goals for long-term development and improvements. The process for developing the Master Plan for Folwell was guided by extensive engagement from the communities MPRB serves. MPRB prioritizes the replacement and repair of park facilities and amenities through a Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

Folwell Park is a neighborhood park allocated funding through the CIP to implement improvements that have been identified in Master Plan for the park. The Folwell Park master plan can be found on its MPRB Park Care & Improvements Master Plan Page for the North Service Area under key documents, specifically Chapter 3 Park Plans F-G.

An initial phase of implementation of improvements from the Master Plan have already been completed including improvements to the existing playground which was updated with new equipment and surfacing in the existing location. Work also included a new accessible path adjacent to the rec center that wraps around the Northwest field and connects to Knox Ave. The tennis court was shifted slightly and was repaved with new fencing. The field on the West side of the park adjacent to the Recreation Center Building has been upgraded with new lighting, however, plans to restore the turf & re-grade for better drainage were not completed at that time.

This project will begin with working with MPRB staff to determine what projects can be completed given the existing budget with focus on completing an All-Wheel Park suitable for
skateboards, BMX and bikes, scooters, rollerskates etc. Concept development for this amenity will involve working with key stakeholder groups for the all-wheel park. Concept development will also look at feasibility for additional improvements to be included as described below.

Other Park improvements for consideration are: (See the master plan)
- Parking lot improvements
- Basketball courts (relocating and expanding number of courts)
- Athletic field improvements (re-grading and turf restoration)

The MPRB requires the services of a consultant team qualified to design a buildable project and prepare construction documents, including documents suitable for bidding, and perform construction contract administration services. The Consultant team will also be responsible for assisting MPRB staff in securing approvals and permits required to advance the project. The consultant team will work with a sub-consultant to guide the all-wheel park who has extensive experience building and designing similar features.

The MPRB follows a policy that requires engagement of interested parties and the community for every project. MPRB also requires the consultant team to determine a scope of work for improvements through a thorough community engagement process with community, neighborhood organizations, MPRB staff involved with maintenance and programming. The scope of work for improvements could include but is not limited to items identified in the Park Master Plan and discussed above.

MPRB staff will coordinate the engagement process and facilitate required community engagement meetings with support of the consultant team. The consultant team will support MPRB staff with community engagement with activities such as attending meetings, taking minutes and documenting engagement processes, providing documents (drawings, illustrations, and other graphics). It is not anticipated that the consultant team will prepare documents beyond those necessary to directly prepare and advance the design.

Work related to the project must be pursued so that substantial completion of the park improvements occurs by December 31, 2024.

2.2 GENERAL SCOPE OF WORK
The MPRB is soliciting qualifications with the intention of entering a contract for the preparation of design and construction documents and the performance of construction contract administration services for Folwell Park.

The budget for the constructed project (inclusive of design and engineering fees, administrative costs, municipal fees) has been established at $1.3 Million. It will be important that the development of a design of these park improvements can be achieved within the project budget.

The Consultant Team, at a minimum, is expected to:
a) Must have experience with neighborhood park design and construction, community engagement, and special experience designing all-wheel park amenities including but not limited to skate parks, bicycle trails, pump tracks etc.;
b) It is anticipated that a licensed landscape architect will lead the design team;
c) Use master plan items, community input, and MPRB staff input to design and deliver a project according from preliminary design, design development, final design through construction documentation;
d) Support, but not necessarily facilitate, engagement of the public and project stakeholders in conformance with the MPRB’s Community Engagement Policy;
e) Identify and provide supporting materials for any municipal permits and approvals, but not necessarily participating in meetings associated with those permits and approvals;
f) Provide incremental estimates of construction costs, including any fixtures, furnishings, and equipment and prepare recommendations for aligning estimated costs and community input with project budget;
g) Coordinated milestone reviews as part of the design process;
h) Provide record minutes of meetings with community, stakeholders, and contractors; and
i) Perform other services necessary or intended to deliver a superior design and compelling project.

2.3 PROJECT DEVELOPMENT STAGES

In order to guide the work, the MPRB anticipates deliverables according to logical stages of plan development. To align the work with expectations of staff review and any associated public engagement, the following general thresholds shall be considered by the consultant in defining a Scope of Work as part of a Professional Services Agreement:

**Concept Development/Engagement support.** This phase will assist MPRB in development of conceptual plans and identify an effective scope that responds to MPRB staff and stakeholders (including community members from the bicycle and skate community) needs and wants while still aligning with the budget. In this phase the following will be completed:

- Site analysis document to support concept development including but not limited to review of existing trees, existing infrastructure, site survey, soils, accessibility, and security of the site;
- Provide MPRB staff desired location for geotechnical investigations if needed;
- Initial site plan concept sketches with associated precedents will be provided for MPRB review. One round of revision will be provided based on MPRB comment. Refined concepts with associated precedent imagery will be provided to support community engagement meetings along with supporting graphics. Supporting graphics to be chosen in coordination with MPRB.
- Code review and preliminary utility research to understand baseline design constraints; and
Engagement with the community shall include attendance at least two (2) community meetings; one (1) meeting with community stakeholders to develop concepts and refine scope and one (1) meeting with the public and stakeholder groups to share a preferred concept plan. Support for these events includes taking minutes from meetings, leading group in discussion/breakout sessions, providing visual aids such as background information boards, precedent images, maps, site plans, renderings or other tools deemed necessary to successfully convey and communicate design ideas and gather input from community.

At the completion of Preliminary Design/Schematic Design, the work shall be approximately 30 percent complete and:

- The project basis (need, scope, and intent) has been fully articulated;
- One (1) meeting with the stakeholder group will be conducted to review schematic design for the all-wheel park;
- Investigations informing project directions and feasibility have been completed or scheduled in concert with other project activities and tasks;
- Key project criteria are defined and documented;
- Major project elements and systems have been defined according to criteria established by the MPRB and the consultant;
- Major project decisions have been made and are demonstrated in initial design drawings;
- Outline of technical specifications and design narrative to support drawings and assist with costing has been completed that include things such as additional site, civil, landscape and electrical or other utility information as deemed necessary for the preferred design;
- Initial estimates of cost can be reasonably achieved;
- Regulatory compliance has been reviewed such that the project can be reasonably achieved;
- Engagement of the public has occurred such that knowledge of the project, its scope, and impacts on the park and neighborhood are understood by members of the public who have chosen to participate in meetings;
- The project has received “concept” approval from the Board of Commissioners, establishing the Preliminary Design as the proper path to implement;
- A robust initial project development review has been completed with a log of issues and comments recorded; and
- Milestone review meeting with MPRB and project design team has occurred.

At the completion of Design Development, the work shall be approximately 60 percent complete and:

- Design of major project elements and systems have been completed and the type, size and location of those elements and systems are fixed relative to the project site and building configuration;
- Design of major project elements and systems have been completed and the type, size and location of those elements and systems are fixed relative to the project site design and configuration;
• Preparation of design plans and details including but not limited to demolition/erosion control, site/surfacing, grading, landscape, utilities, lighting, and details;
• Preparation of technical specifications; the relationship of elements and systems of the project can be fully assessed for agreement or conflict;
• The constructability of the project can be demonstrated commensurate to this stage of project development;
• The project's conformance with standards, regulations, and best practices can be determined;
• Updates to project costs can be reasonably determined;
• Methods of perpetuating the completed project can be reasonably assessed by the MPRB relative to cost, operational parameters, access, and other factors determined through the design process;
• Changes to the Preliminary Design in terms of scope, magnitude, cost, and program impacts have been communicated to MPRB staff and, if necessary, from the perspective of MPRB staff, to the public and the Board of Commissioners;
• Issues and comments identified in the initial project development review are resolved;
• A project development review commensurate with this stage of design has been completed with a log of issues and comments recorded;
• Plans have been packaged and submitted for City of Minneapolis PDR review (If necessary);
• The SWPPP has been prepared for City and Watershed Review if necessary; and
• Milestone meeting with MPRB and project design team has occurred.

At the completion of Final Design and Construction Documents, the work shall be approximately 95 percent complete and:

• Project deliverables necessary to construct the proposed improvements have been substantially demonstrated in a set of drawings and associated specifications including but not limited to:
  I. Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plans (SWPPP) and a narrative as required for an NPDES permit from the MPCA;
  II. Site removals and temporary erosion and sedimentation control plan;
  III. Detailed grading plan to establish grades including pedestrian trail elevations and tying into the surrounding site and roadways;
  IV. Site surfacing plan with associated details for site features;
  V. Utility plan with associated details;
  VI. Landscape planting design plan and details; and
  VII. Site electrical and lighting plan and details.

• Details associated with the construction of elements and systems of the project have been considered for compliance with standards, regulations, and best practices including technical construction specifications in CSI format. MPRB will provide LHB front end of specifications.
• City of Minneapolis PDR review comments have been resolved, if necessary;
• Watershed Review completed, if necessary;
• Reviews by functional units within MPRB have been accomplished with written comments provided to the design and engineering consultant;
• A final estimate of project construction costs can be reasonably delivered; and
• The application of signatures or stamps of responsible professionals can be reasonably assumed to be occurring within two weeks of the review.
During the **bidding phase** The Consultant shall assist with the following:

a. Attending pre-bid meeting;
b. Answer bidder’s questions;
c. Issue addenda as needed and assisting MPRB in reviewing bids for completeness;
d. Review qualifications of bidders; and
e. Provide recommendation letter for selected contractor.

During the **construction administration phase** the Consultant shall provide construction services support that include:

- Attendance of one (1) pre-construction meeting held on-site with the selected Contractor. This meeting will double as a tree walk/site visit to inform tree protection intent and extents as well as site access and other issues critical to the success of the construction process;
- Package of AutoCAD Civil 3D files to provide to contractor, if requested;
- Review of Shop Drawings and Material Submittals;
- Attendance at construction meetings.
- Attendance at on-site pre-installation visits to review staking and layout performed by Contractor. These would occur prior to the installation of concrete, stormwater features, playground surfacing, and plantings but these meetings could also be used for critical in-field observation visits;
- Provide record minutes of construction meetings;
- Final Site review by the Civil Engineer, Electrical Engineer, and Landscape Architect and one final completion punch list for site work;
- Coordinating close-out paperwork;
- Review of as-built drawings provided by MPRB; and
- Prior to the expiration of one year from the date of Substantial Completion, Consultant shall, without additional compensation, conduct a meeting with the owner to review the facility operations and performance.

## 2.4 PROJECT OUTCOMES

The MPRB has defined the following as necessary outcomes of the design process:

**Park design-related outcomes**

- A design addressing needs and opportunities related to the master plan developed for the park, community, and staff input;
- A design aligning with the sources and magnitude of funding available for the work and that demonstrates a path to implementation aligned with available funding;
- A design that can be implemented incrementally, if necessary, with respect for future needs and opportunities;
- A design that can be perpetuated with the latest and evolving technologies;
- A reliable opinion of probable cost, established in year-of-implementation dollars,
- A design that is efficient and demonstrates ease of operations, even to such a degree that it sustains itself; and
- A design that is compelling for the setting but does not overwhelm the intrinsic character of the park particularly the scale of the amenities to the size of the park and relationship to the neighboring houses.

**Process-related outcomes**
• A process that respects the MPRB’s Community Engagement Policy;
• A process that encourages innovation and invention, particularly relative to sustainability and function;
• Documents supporting a rich understanding of the design by staff and a community that may not be familiar with design processes;
• A vision for the project that can be realized in a relatively short timeframe, demonstrates consistency with input from the public and especially where that input can be elevated to new degrees of potential for the project;
• A design that can be approved by the MPRB and any jurisdictions with authority over the project; and
• A process that keeps MPRB staff assigned to this project at the forefront of interactions with the community.

Community-related outcomes
• A design capable of serving the community surrounding Folwell Park well into the future; and
• A design that is unique and wholly appropriate for Folwell Park.

2.5 CONTRACTED SERVICES
While the MPRB assumes the above listed tasks as core to the delivery of the project, it also believes that through this solicitation a fully qualified expert will be engaged. As a Scope of Work will be negotiated between the MPRB and the responder determined as most qualified through this solicitation process, it will rely significantly on the qualified expert to deliver the project. In that process, outcomes as indicated in Section 2.4 will need to be fully considered by the selected consultant.

2.6 PROJECT SCHEDULE
The MPRB anticipates a process related to the preparation of design and construction documents allowing for construction to begin in 2023. The following generalized schedule is provided as a guide for anticipated work and deliverables:

See Attachment C

2.7 PROJECT BUDGET
The MPRB has established a budget for Folwell Park Improvements at $1,337,739.00 of NPP20, park dedication, and Hennepin County Youth Sports Grant which shall include all project costs. On a preliminary basis, the budget might generally be directed to the project as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uses</th>
<th>% of total</th>
<th>% of Const.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction costs including contingency (Not in RFQ scope)</td>
<td>$1,119,545.00</td>
<td>83.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape Architectural Design &amp; Engineering (This RFQs consulting fees)</td>
<td>$133,773.00</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey, geotechnical services &amp; environmental investigation (not in RFQ Scope)</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An additional $150,000 will be available in 2023, but this will go for board approval in 2023 and is not available yet.

In contracting for services related to preparation of design and construction and the conduct of construction administration services, the MPRB must recognize the value paid for consulting services relative to the funds available to implement the project.

2.8 PROJECT GUIDANCE
In addition to coordination of project activities provided by a consultant through MPRB staff, the design process is anticipated to include engagement and review by staff groups and members of the community around Folwell Park Neighborhood. Such engagement and review will be led by MPRB staff with support, as appropriate and necessary, by the consultant. The frequency and timing for engagement sessions and project reviews will be determined in concert with the selected consultant or consultant team, but MPRB staff will be primarily responsible for this work.

PART 3 REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

3.1 RESPONSES, IN GENERAL
A response to this Request for Qualifications shall contain information in the order indicated in the chart in Section 3.2. Where responses to questions are required, the responder shall prepare a narrative response that may include graphic information, diagrams, or other means of communicating key messages. The MPRB anticipates a creative, unique response specific to this request such that all aspects demonstrate a clear relationship to the effort of designing Folwell Park Improvements project. Standardized or “boilerplate” information, including firm descriptions, personnel resumes, and project narratives shall not be provided as a part of a response.

Failure to follow the requirements for content and format may result in disqualification of the response.

3.2 FORMAT AND CONTENT OF RESPONSES
A response to this request shall be provided in PDF (portable data file) format, delivered digitally as noted in Section 3.7. Responders shall direct particular attention to the order and requirements of information to be included in a response as indicated in the following chart:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
1 | Cover letter | There is no limitation on the content presented as part of this section.  
• This section shall be limited to two pages.  
2 | Team identification | The respondent shall provide the following information:  
1) **The name, address, and telephone number of the lead consultant, and the office location from which the work would be conducted for a lead consultant not located in the Twin Cities area;**  
2) **The name, address, and telephone number of other members of the consultant team, and the office location from which the work would be conducted if the consultant team member is not located in the Twin Cities area; and**  
3) **The name, title, email address, and telephone number of the person who is primarily responsible for preparation of the response.**  
• This section shall contain no descriptive information about the consultant or consultant team other than the information requested.  
• This section shall be limited to two pages.  
3 | Project understanding | The respondent shall respond to the following information:  
4) **Beyond information contained in this Request for Qualifications, describe the consultant’s or consultant team’s understanding of the need and intent of this project.**  
5) **How will the lead consultant ensure the work of this request is fully responsive to the outcomes identified in this request?**  
• No other information shall be included in this section.  
• This section shall be limited to two pages.  
4 | Skills and experience of the lead consultant | The respondent shall respond to the following information:  
6) **What single project performed by the lead consultant is most similar to the focus of this request and what role did the lead consultant play in the project? Provide narrative, graphic, and pictorial support for that project.**  
7) **What role did the Key Personnel play in the referenced project and how did their performance contribute to the project’s success?**
8) Who may be contacted as a reference for detailed questions about the project identified as relevant similar experience?

9) What other projects demonstrate the lead consultant’s capacity to perform the work? Provide abbreviated narrative, graphic, and pictorial support for those projects.

- No other information shall be included in this section.
- This section shall be limited to three pages.

5 Skills and experience of other consultant team members

- The respondent shall respond to the following information:

10) What single project performed by each member of the consultant team is most similar to the focus of this request and what role did the consultant member play in the project? Provide narrative, graphic, and pictorial support for that project.

11) What role did the Key Personnel play in the referenced projects?

12) Who may be contacted as a reference for detailed questions about each project identified as relevant similar experience in the response?

- No other information shall be included in this section.
- This section shall be limited to five pages.

6 Key Personnel

- The respondent shall respond to the following information:

13) What roles or areas of expertise are needed to fully satisfy the requirements of the requested work?

14) Which members of the consultant or consultant team will be assigned to those roles or areas of expertise? Why are those individuals best positioned to perform those roles or address the areas of expertise?

15) Provide a summary of projects or other experience demonstrating capacity for performing this work for each Key Personnel.

16) What roles necessary to perform this work has the consultant or consultant team not included as part of its team?

- Key Personnel shall be those individuals responsible for assuming significant tasks and assuring the quality of key deliverables.
In submitting a response and identifying Key Personnel, the MPRB assumes the individuals have sufficient time to fully serve in their respective roles. Substitutions after the project has commenced shall require review and confirmation by the MPRB. In the event of a departure of any Key Personnel, the consultant or consultant team assumes all responsibilities related to “onboarding,” gaps of information, delays of the project, or other similar issues resulting from a transition in high level project personnel.

No other information shall be included in this section.

This section shall be limited to four pages.

The respondent shall respond to the following information:

17) In general terms, describe the general approach that will be pursued in the consultant’s or consultant team’s design process. Describe approaches that encourage a high degree of interaction between the MPRB, the consultant or consultant team, and the public. Highlight milestones and primary checkpoints in the consultant’s or consultant team’s design process. Frame the description in ways that the outcomes shared in this Request for Qualifications might be realized. Outline the general deliverables that will be important in demonstrating directions of the design at various stages of this process.

18) What tasks will be directed to the MPRB as a part of anticipated deliverables?

No other information shall be included in this section.

This section shall be limited to three pages.

The respondent shall respond to the following information:

19) What are the key points for the consultant’s or consultant team’s deliverable and any incremental reviews by the MPRB?

No other information shall be included in this section.

This section shall be limited to two pages.

The respondent shall respond to the following information:
In additional to the requirements indicated in the chart above, responders shall note the following:

- In the requirements outlined above, the term “page” shall refer to the face of each page, such that one page is equal to one face (side) of a sheet of paper;
- No page shall be larger than 8-1/2 inches by 11 inches;
3.3 EVALUATION OF RESPONSES

Responses to this Request for Qualifications will be reviewed by, at a minimum, the following representatives of the MPRB and any project partners:

- Crystal Passi, Design Project Manager, MPRB
- Cliff Swenson, Director, Design and Project Management, MPRB
- Carol HejlStone, Design Project Manager, MPRB
- Andy Schilling, Design Project Manager, MPRB

Responses shall be reviewed using the following criteria:

- Adherence to the required format of the response: No consideration will be given to proposals failing to follow the format
- Clarity and directness of the response: 10 percent
- Experience of the consultant or consultant team, particularly with regard to Key Personnel: 30 percent
- Demonstrated understanding of the project: 20 percent
- Coherency of approach to the work, especially directed to design process, interactions with project staff, and appropriateness of anticipated deliverables: 30 percent
- Acknowledgement and understanding of project risks, the need for managing quality of the work, and the timeliness of milestones leading to full delivery: 10 percent

Any determination relative to the selection of a consultant or consultant team made by the MPRB shall be considered final.

3.4 SELECTION OF CONSULTANT OR CONSULTANT TEAM

Should it be determined after a detailed review of responses that interviews are necessary to determine the best qualified consultant or consultant team, the MPRB will organize interviews as follows:

- The consultant or consultant teams selected for an interview will be notified not less than five calendar days prior to the date scheduled for the interview;
- Participation in the interview will be limited to four members of the consultant or consultant team;
- The interview format will be provided to those selected for interviews at the time of notification. The MPRB requests that responders selected for an interview allow up to 45 minutes for an interview.
- The interview may require the consultant or consultant team to develop and present an initial scope of work and associated fees. Such presentation may be used
in selecting a consultant or consultant team, but the scope and fee shall remain subject to negotiation.

The MPRB reserves the right to negotiate a scope and fee with more than one responder in order to determine the best value for the services requested.

The consultant or consultant teams selected for an interview shall consider information contained in a response to this Request for Qualifications to be read and understood, with no need to repeat or review that information during an interview. Additional information regarding interviews may be provided to the consultant or consultant team at any time up to the start of the interview.

It is intended that the same individuals identified as reviewers in Section 3.3 will conduct the interviews. The interview panel may be expanded based on reviews of the responses received.

3.5 QUESTIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS

Questions regarding this Request for Qualifications shall be directed VIA EMAIL ONLY to:

Crystal Passi, cpassi@minneapolisparks.org

Questions or requests for clarification must be received by the date indicated in Section 1.3. Responses will be provided to all known proposers via email by the date indicated in Section 1.3.

3.6 SUBMITTAL OF RESPONSES

Responses will be accepted only up to the time indicated in this Request for Qualifications. Responses shall be submitted in portable data file (PDF) format. Proposals shall be submitted VIA EMAIL ONLY to:

Crystal Passi, cpassi@minneapolisparks.org

The email submittal must clearly state in the subject line that the communication contains:

Statement of Qualifications for DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT SERVICES RELATED TO FOWELL PARK IMPROVEMENTS

PART 4 CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS

Attachment A - MPRB standard professional services agreement

PART 5 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

5.1 Attachment B - MPRB Master Plan Sheets for Folwell Park - See below or Link to Master Plans page, click on ‘north’, click on ‘Chapter 3: Neighborhood & Regional Park Plans F-G

Attachment C – General Project Schedule
Attachment D - Draft Community Engagement Plan