Community Engagement Assessment

Please fill out this form if your project, program, or initiative includes community engagement. All Community Engagement Assessments should be filed as Petitions and Communications with the MPRB Board of Commissioners and submitted to the MPRB Engagement Portal on SharePoint (Portal is in development – for now please send to christensen@minneapolisparks.org).

Staff Lead Name: Daniel Elias

Division/Department: Planning

Level of Engagement: Partner

Project Description (100 words max):

*Cedar-Riverside Recreation Centers Predesign Study*

This project will explore the potential for building a new recreation center, integrated into a larger development, on the east end of the Augsburg University campus to meet the growing needs of the Cedar-Riverside community. A new facility would supplement Brian Coyle Community Center programming that already serves this community. A renovation or nearby relocation of the Brian Coyle Community Center is also being explored as part of this project.

*This document is guided by the pending Community Engagement Policy. The new policy will be Board adopted prior to the final submittal of the Community Engagement Assessment and Community Engagement Plan for this project.*
March 5, 2019

Answer the questions to determine the level of engagement that your program, project, or initiative falls under in the Justification section below. Provide an explanation of how your project meets the criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Engagement</th>
<th>Inform</th>
<th>Consult</th>
<th>Involve</th>
<th>Partner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engagement Goal</td>
<td>To provide stakeholders with factual, accessible, balanced, and timely information to help them understand the project, program, or initiative.</td>
<td>To obtain stakeholder feedback on project, initiative, or program analysis, alternatives, or decisions.</td>
<td>To work directly with stakeholders throughout the process to ensure that perspectives are consistently understood, considered, and reflected in project, program, or initiative decisions.</td>
<td>To partner with stakeholders in each aspect of decision making in order to develop and implement collaborative project, program, or initiative solutions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria to Determine Level of Engagement (Which level of engagement best describes your project?)</td>
<td>• This a decision that should be based on technical, operational, or safety constraints only. • This is a project that does not result in a change in the type, location, or extent of the current facility or service.</td>
<td>• This project takes place in a park that has been master planned. • This a new city-wide initiative or program. • This is a new public policy or public policy update. • The outcome will change based on community input.</td>
<td>• This project requires a CAC. • This project does not have other policy guidance such as an existing master plan or public policy.</td>
<td>• This program, project, or initiative is being developed in partnership with another agency or organization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPRB Promise</td>
<td>MPRB will keep you informed.</td>
<td>MPRB will keep you informed, listen to and acknowledge feedback, work to integrate stakeholder feedback into the alternatives, and provide feedback on how public input influenced the decision.</td>
<td>We will work with stakeholders for advice and innovation in formulating solutions and to incorporate recommendations to the extent possible.</td>
<td>The MPRB and partner entity will be accountable to their roles as negotiated in the partnership and regularly evaluate overall success of the partnership.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examples of project types</td>
<td>• Repaving a parking lot, cutting down a tree, beach or swimming pool closures, ADA improvements, rehab</td>
<td>• Approved master plan design implementation, city or service area wide program development, public policy development, Participatory Project Scoping</td>
<td>• Master plans, any process that includes a formal public body making recommendations.</td>
<td>Jointly owned and/or operated facilities, co-creation, public/private partnership, community led projects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This engagement grid was adapted from the IAP2 International Federation 2014 Public Participation Spectrum.
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Justification (100 words max): Please explain how your project meets the criteria in the engagement grid on pg. 2. Provide a justification for each criterion corresponding to the Level of Engagement that will guide your project.

While a Community Advisory Committee will still be formed, this project goes beyond the engagement level of “Involve” due to the partnerships necessary for the delivery of services to Cedar-Riverside.

The engagement level for this project is “Partner” because work with Pillsbury United Communities (who currently operates the Coyle Community Center under an existing 99-year lease) will be critical for project outcomes. Additionally, MPRB has partnered with the YMCA, Augsburg University, and Fairview Health Services to explore other partnership opportunities during this predesign phase. Revision to the PUC/MPRB lease is anticipated as part of the project process.